IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Jonathan Corbett

Plaintiff

Case No. 20-CV- 4864

V.

Andrew M. Cuomo, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of New York,

Defendant

COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

- 1) Since March 2020, every state in the United States has been battling infections by a virus known as "SARS-CoV-2" (hereafter, "coronavirus") which causes the disease called COVID-19.
- 2) By count of infections and deaths, New York state was hit among the first and by far the hardest of any of the states; there is no argument that the disease has not presented a serious public health concern.
- 3) While New York has largely "flattened the curve" (that is, there has been a decrease in daily infections and deaths from a peak seen in the past), there are still 646 new infections per day within the state (average over the last 7-day period available at time of filing), and likely tens of thousands of individuals remain infected within the state's borders.
- 4) Notwithstanding, in a move that has no basis in public health science whatsoever, Defendant Andrew M. Cuomo ("CUOMO") has ordered that those arriving to New York from states with "high" per capita daily or total infection rates be subject to mandatory quarantines under penalty of large fines and arrest.

5) Plaintiff Jonathan Corbett ("CORBETT"), an attorney, is scheduled to travel from New York to Nevada and California over the next week, returning to New York in approximately two weeks, and challenges the Governor's order as a violation of his federal constitutional rights.

PARTIES

- 6) Plaintiff CORBETT is a natural person with a residence in New York City. CORBETT is a California-licensed attorney representing himself in this matter.
- 7) Defendant CUOMO is the Governor of the State of New York and is sued here in his official capacity.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 8) Personal jurisdiction is proper because all parties work and, upon belief, reside, within the State of New York.
- 9) Subject matter jurisdiction is proper because the claims arise under federal constitutional law. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 10) Venue is proper because the governmental conduct of which Plaintiff complains is likely to affect him within the boundaries of this district.

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 11) Coronavirus is a pathogen first found to be affecting humans at some point in 2019.
- 12) The first known appearance of the virus was in China, after which it spread both west to Europe and east to the United States.

- 13) Infections in the United States first appeared in Washington State in January and then New York in March.
- 14) Within one month of New York's first case, all 50 states reported infections of coronavirus.
- 15) It is no surprise that New York City, with its dense population and close contact in its massive public transportation system, became a hotspot of infections.
- 16) By end of March, New York reported nearly 100,000 infections and over 2,000 deaths, far surpassing any other state and most countries in the world.
- 17) New York saw its peak of coronavirus deaths on April 7th, 2020, having reported <u>799</u> New Yorkers passed away from the virus on that date.
- 18) Since then, coronavirus deaths in New York have rapidly declined to <u>27</u> reported June 22nd, 2020.
- 19) A total of just under 400,000 New Yorkers, or 4.7% of the population, has tested positive for coronavirus in addition, of course, to those who are positive but untested.
- 20) Indeed, there are likely several times 400,000, as according to a state study concluded on June 13th, 2020, 13.4% of the state's population tests positive for antibodies to coronavirus¹.
- 21) Extrapolating, this means 1,125,000 residents have been infected a number that would be made even higher by taking into account the high false-*negative* rate for antibody tests.
- 22) Needless to say, a quarantine to keep coronavirus *out* of New York is too late the virus is already here, there, and everywhere, from the Hamptons to Niagara Falls.
- 23) Elsewhere in the country, perhaps as a result of lower population density, less reliance on public transportation, or otherwise, the rate of infection in most other states started more slowly.

¹ Announced during the Governor's June 16fh, 2020 daily press conference.

- 24) Now, however, other states are seeing increases in infection rates, with some somewhat higher than New York.
- 25) Considering the last 7 days of available data, the following states have seen the following average number of new infections per day:
 - a. New York 646, or 7.69 per 100,000 residents
 - b. California 4,216, or 10.67 per 100,000 residents
 - c. Nevada 359, or 11.95 per 100,000 residents
 - d. North Carolina 1,331, or 12.69 per 100,000 residents
 - e. Washington (State) 409, or 5.37 per 100,000 residents
- 26) On June 24th, 2020 (today), CUOMO announced an executive order that would require all travelers from states that he determines have sufficiently high rates of infection would be subject to mandatory 14-day quarantine.
- 27) The order applies both to out-of-state visitors and in-state residents returning from a "problem state."
- 28) Those violating the quarantine, CUOMO announced, would be subject to forcible quarantine
 a/k/a "arrest" plus they would be liable for the cost of the forcible quarantine and an additional \$2,000 fine.
- 29) North Carolina and Washington State were among the states that CUOMO named as meeting his criteria for high rate of infection, and he made clear that any state that increases their infection statistics to his threshold will be added to the list.
- 30) The stated purpose of the quarantine was to reduce the risk of an increase of infections in New York.

- 31) However, it is entirely unclear how such a quarantine could accomplish these goals, given that New York currently has tens of thousands of infected citizens already walking around within its borders not subject to quarantine.
- 32) That is, if New York already has, *e.g.*, 50,000 people walking around with active infections, if that number increases to 51,000 because of infected incoming travelers, it is unclear to what extent, if any, that will put New York at additional risk of "un-flattening its curve."
- 33) Indeed, given that CUOMO's order discourages travel in both directions, it is not clear that the number of active infections in New York would increase at all, since unwittingly infected New Yorkers would be just as likely to leave as unwittingly infected out-of-staters would be to arrive.
- 34) The rationality of CUOMO's order is further called into question by the fact that he has agreed to move all of the state to "Phase 2" reopening, a move that is far more likely to result in an increase in infections than allowing the small number of returning residents and out-of-state visitors to arrive without having their freedom curtailed.
- 35) The rationality of CUOMO's order is further called into question by his inclusion of Washington State, which has *fewer* per capita new coronavirus infections than New York.
- 36) CUOMO has cited no evidence that there is any scientific consensus, or even likelihood, that the means here will accomplish the goal.
- 37) There exist far less intrusive means by which CUOMO could accomplish his stated goal. For example, travelers could be checked for temperature upon arrival at a *de minimus* cost. Or travelers could be required to get a coronavirus test at their own expense and, upon negative result, be freed from any quarantine requirements.
- 38) CORBETT is currently booked to travel from New York to California and Nevada within the next 7 days and return to New York within the next 14 days.

- 39) Although California and Nevada were not enumerated by CUOMO as currently having high enough infection rates, both states saw a substantial increase of new cases over the past 7 days in comparison to the previous 7 days; thus, they are both likely to be added to the list of states from which a quarantine applies before CORBETT returns to New York.
- 40) CORBETT maintains a residence within the State of New York at which he intends to stay immediately following his journey.
- 41) Being subject to a 14-day quarantine would cause substantial injury to CORBETT's personal and business affairs.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Count 1 – Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution Right to Travel Under Due Process Clause

- 42) The Due Process clauses of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution as incorporated against the states by the Fourteenth Amendment, and of the Fourteenth Amendment itself, prohibit the interference with the right to travel without due process of law.
- 43) Under these clauses, the Constitution allows for curtailment of this right, in the context of protection of public health, only if there is a "real or substantial relation" between the *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, 197 U.S. 11, 31 (1905).
- While temporary quarantines have in the past been upheld as constitutionally sound in the context of a public health crisis, the quarantine at issue, which puzzlingly seeks to prevent the introduction of a pathogen that has already been widely distributed within the area the quarantine seeks to protect, is perhaps a matter of first impression (perhaps because it has never before been attempted).

- 45) There is little or no discernable benefit to creating a quarantine to protect an area that is already widely infected.
- 46) On the contrary, a deprivation of liberty for a 14-day period under penalty of more severe sanctions, when easily-accomplished, minimally-invasive, and more effective alternatives exist, is a severe interference with the constitutional rights of CORBETT and the people.
- 47) CUOMO has therefore infringed or announced his intention to cause the state to infringe upon CORBETT's constitutional rights.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- i. Declaratory relief stating that CUOMO's quarantine order discussed herein is facially unconstitutional.
- ii. Injunctive relief ordering that CUOMO and his agents be restrained from enforcing the quarantine order discussed herein and restrained from issuing substantially-similar orders
- iii. Cost of the action.
- iv. Reasonable attorney's fees².
- v. Any other such relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Dated: New York, NY Respectfully submitted,

June 24th, 2020

 $/_{\rm S}/$

Jonathan Corbett, Esq.

Plaintiff (attorney proceeding *pro se*)

CA Bar #325608

958 N. Western Ave. #765

Hollywood, CA 90029

E-mail: jon@corbettrights.com

Phone: (310) 684-3870 FAX: (310) 684-3870

² To the extent that attorney's fees are available to an attorney representing himself, and in the event that CORBETT retains counsel other than himself.

JS 44C/SDNY REV. 06/01/17

Case 1:20-cv-04864-UA DONNINGOVERISHEE 0 06/24/20 Page 1 of 2

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME. ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER APPLICATION OF PROPERTING HINDS (FIR NOWN) N.Y. A.G. N.Y. A.G. N.Y. A.G. N.Y. A.G. N.Y. A.G. N.Y. A.G. ON THE PROPERTING HINDS (FIR NOWN) N.Y. A.G.	PLAINT Jonatha	IFFS n Corbett			DEFENDANTS Andrew M. Cuomo, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of New York				
U.S. Const., Armend. XIV U.S. Const., Armend. XIV Has this action, case, or proceeding, or one essentially the same been previously filed in SDNY at any time? No Yes Judge Previously Assigned If yes, was this case Vol. Invol. Dismissed. No Yes If yes, give date & Case No. B THIS ANI INTERNATIONAL ABBITRATION CASE! NO Yes TOTAL TOT	~ plainti	ff is attorney repre	senting himself pro se ~		,				
U.S. Const., Amend. XIV Has this action, case, or proceeding, or one essentially the same been previously filed in SDNY at any time? No ☑ Yes ☐ Judge Previously Assigned If yes, was this case Vol. ☐ Invol. ☐ Dismissed. No ☐ Yes ☐ If yes, give date ☐ & Case No. ☐ STATE STATUTES If yes, was this case Vol. ☐ Invol. ☐ Dismissed. No ☐ Yes ☐ If yes, give date ☐ & Case No. ☐ Verification of the process of t	CAUSE					TATEMENT OF CAUSE)			
Has this action, case, or proceeding, or one essentially the same been previously filed in SDNY at any time? No ☑Yes ☐ If yes, was this case Vol. ☐ Invol. ☐ Dismissed. No ☐ Yes ☐ If yes, give date	U.S. Co	,			,				
TOTAL PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY PROPERTY IN CONTRACT PERSONAL INJURY PROPERTY IN CONTRACT PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY PROPERTY IN CONTRACT PERSONAL INJURY PERSO	Has this	action, case, or	proceeding, or one essent	tially the same been previo	ously filed in SDNY at any	Judg time? No ☑Yes ☐	e Previously Assigned		
TORTS ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES CONTRACT PERSONAL INJURY SPREAL CLAMS 1 10 APPLACE AN [6] IN ONE BOX ONLY) TORTS ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES CONTRACT PERSONAL INJURY SPREAL CLAMS 1 10 APPLACE 1 10 APPL	If yes, w	as this case Vol.	☐ Invol. ☐ Dismissed.	No Yes If yes,	give date	& Case No			
CONTRACT PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY 1365 DRUG SELATED 1422 APPEAL 1375 FALSE CLAMS 1376 CUITAM 1360 DITEM 1460 STATE 1460 ST	S THIS AN	INTERNATIONAL ARBIT	RATION CASE? NO	× Yes					
CONTRACT PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY SOFTEETURE PERSONAL 1310 ARRHANGE 1310 ARRHANGE 1310 ARRHANGE 1310 ARRHANGE PRODUCT 1310 PRODUCT 13	(PLACE	AN [x] IN ONE B	OX ONLY)	NATURE OF S	SUIT				
1110			TORTS			ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES			
REAL PROPERTY (I) 210 LAND (1) 442 EMPLOYMENT (1) 442 EMPLOYMENT (1) 443 HOUSING) (I) 220 FORECLOSURE (1) 445 AMERICANS WITH (1) 555 PRISON CONDITION (1) 10 555 PRISON	[]110 []120 []130 []140 []150 []151 []152 []153 []160 []190 []195	INSURANCE MARINE MILLER ACT NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT MEDICARE ACT RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (EXCL VETERANS) RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANS' BENEFITS STOCKHOLDERS SUITS OTHER CONTRACT CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY	[] 310 AIRPLANE [] 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER [] 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY [] 340 MARINE [] 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 350 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY [] 362 PERSONAL INJURY- MED MALPRACTICE ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES CIVIL RIGHTS [] 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	[] 367 HEALTHCARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PERSONAL INJURY/PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 365 PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY PERSONAL PROPERTY [] 370 OTHER FRAUD [] 371 TRUTH IN LENDING [] 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY PRISONER PETITIONS [] 463 ALIEN DETAINEE [] 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 28 USC 2255 [] 530 HABEAS CORPUS [] 1535 DEATH PENALTY	E] 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY 21 USC 881 [] 690 OTHER PROPERTY RIGHTS [] 820 COPYRIGHTS [] 830 PATENT [] 835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED [] 840 TRADEMARK LABOR [] 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT [] 720 LABOR/MGMT RELATIONS [] 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT [] 751 FAMILY MEDICAL LEAVE ACT (FMLA) [] 1790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION [] 791 EMPL RET INC	[] 422 APPEAL	[] 375 FALSE CLAIMS [] 376 QUI TAM [] 400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT [] 410 ANTITRUST [] 430 BANKS & BANKING [] 450 COMMERCE [] 460 DEPORTATION [] 470 RACKETEER INFLU- ENCED & CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT (RICO) [] 480 CONSUMER CREDIT [] 490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV [] 850 SECURITIES/ EXCHANGE [] 890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS [] 891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS [] 893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS [] 895 FREEDOM OF		
DO YOU CLAIM THIS CASE IS RELATED TO A CIVIL CASE NOW PENDING IN S.D.N.Y. AS DEFINED BY LOCAL RULE FOR DIVISION OF BUSINESS 13? UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 IF SO, STATE:	[] 210 [] 220 [] 230 [] 240 [] 245	LAND [] 441 VOTING [] 442 EMPLOYMENT PRISONER CIV [] 442 EMPLOYMENT PRISONER CIV [] 445 AMERICANS WITH [] 555 PRISON [] 560 CIVIL RI [] 560 CI		[] 550 CIVIL RIGHTS [] 555 PRISON CONDITION [] 560 CIVIL DETAINEE CONDITIONS OF CONFINEM	[] 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION [] 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS		[] 899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT/REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION [] 950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF		
DEMAND \$ OTHER injunction JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER	С	HECK IF THIS IS	A CLASS ACTION	AS DEFINED BY LOCAL RULE FOR DIVISION OF BUSINESS 13?					
	DEMAN	ND \$	OTHER injunction	on JUDGE		DOCKET NUME	BER		

Case 1:20-cv-04864-UA Document 1-1 Filed 06/24/20 Page 2 of 2

(PLACE AN x IN ONE	BOX ONLY)		ORIGIN			
X 1 Original Proceeding	2 Removed from State Court a. all parties repres	from Appellate	Reinstated or Reopened	5 Transferred fro (Specify Distric		 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judg
	」 a. all parties repres ☐ b. At least one part	oou.t			☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation (D	irect File)
(D) 405 4N × 1N 0N5	is pro se.					
(PLACE AN x IN ONE	□ 2 U.S. DEFEND			DICTION ☐4 DIVERSITY	IF DIVERSITY CITIZENSHIP	
	CITIZENSI	HIP OF PRINCIPAL PA	ARTIES (F	OR DIVERSITY C	ASES ONLY)	
(Place an [X] in	one box for Plaintiff an	d one box for Defendant)				
CITIZEN OF THIS STATE	PTF DEF	CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A FOREIGN COUNTRY			ORATED and PRINCIPAL PLACE INESS IN ANOTHER STATE	PTF DEF
CITIZEN OF ANOTHER ST	TATE []2 []2	INCORPORATED or PRINCIF OF BUSINESS IN THIS STA		[]4[]4 FOREIG	N NATION	[]6 []6
Jonathan Corbe 958 N. Western Hollywood, CA S (Los Angeles Co	tt, Esq. Ave. #765 90029	JNTY(IES)				
DEFENDANT(S) ADI	DRESS(ES) AND C	OUNTY(IES)				
Andrew M. Cuoi 633 3rd Ave 38t New York, NY 1	h Fl.	al capacity as Gov	ernor of	the State of Ne	w York	
	HEREBY MADE THA	T, AT THIS TIME, I HAVE E LLOWING DEFENDANTS:	3EEN UNABL	.E, WITH REASONABL	E DILIGENCE, TO ASCERTA	.IN
I hereby certify that th	nis case should be as		OUSE ASSI e indicated b		al Rule for Division of Busine	ess 18, 20 or 2
Check one: THIS A	ACTION SHOULD	BE ASSIGNED TO:	□ WF	IITE PLAINS	▼ MANHATTAN	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD			PRACTICE IN THIS DISTRIC	;т
RECEIPT#				[x] NO [] YES (DATE Attorney Bar C	ADMITTED Mo Yi ode #	r)
Magistrate Judge is	s to be designated	by the Clerk of the Co	ourt.			
Magistrate Judge _					is so Designated.	
		Deputy				
UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT (N	EW YORK SOUTHERN))			